McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, 1 Wayte & Carruth LLP Douglas M. Beard (OH #0073759) Douglas.beard@mccormickbarstow.com 312 Walnut Street Scripps Center, Suite 1050 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Telephone: (513) 762-7520 Facsimile: 5 (513) 762-7521 Attorneys for Defendant JOHN ZWEIGLE, dba 6 Design Services 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION 10 11 12 SWITCHBACK GROUP, INC., Case No. 5:14-cy-1022 13 Plaintiff, **DEFENDANT JOHN ZWEIGLE, dba DESIGN SERVICE'S ANSWER TO** 14 PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT v. JOHN ZWEIGLE, dba Design Service, 15 Judge: Hon. John R. Adams 16 Defendant. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 17 18 Defendant, JOHN ZWEIGLE, dba Design Services (hereafter "this Answering Defendant"), 19 answers Plaintiff SWITCHBACK GROUP, INC.'S Amended Complaint ("Complaint") and admits, 20 denies and alleges as follows: 21 **SUMMARY OF CASE** 22 1. In response to Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant objects to the 23 term "sophisticated" as vague and ambiguous. Without waiving this objection, this Answering 24 Defendant admits the allegations contained therein. 25 In response to Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the 2. 26 allegations contained therein. 27 3. In response to Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits that he 28

Case: 5:14-cv-01022-JRA Doc #: 17 Filed: 08/05/15 1 of 16. PageID #: 148

MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 7647 NORTH FRESNO STREET FRESNO, CA 93720

5:14-cv-1022

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

did business as "Design Services" and that he was retained by Switchback as an independent contractor. However, this Answering Defendant denies the remaining allegations.

4. In response to Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### **THE PARTIES**

- 5. In response to Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 6. In response to Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 7. In response to Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant objects as it calls for a legal conclusion. This Answering Defendant denies the allegation that "Upon information and belief, Zweigle is the owner and controlling force of Design Services and is personally liable for the acts and omissions committed in the name of 'Design Services.'" This Answering Defendant admits the allegation that "Upon information and belief, 'Design Services' is an unincorporated sole proprietorship under which Zweigle does business from time to time."

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. In responding to Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 9. In responding to Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 10. In responding to Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 11. In responding to Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. In response to Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 13. In response to Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant objects as vague and ambiguous as to time. Without waiving these objections, this Answering Defendant admits

6

8

12

13

11

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25 26

24

27 28 the allegations contained therein.

- 14. In response to Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant objects as vague and ambiguous as to time. Without waiving these objections, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 15. In response to Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant objects as vague and ambiguous as to time. Without waiving these objections, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 16. In responding to Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 17. In responding to Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 18. In responding to Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### RELEVANT FACTS

- 19. In responding to Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 20. In response to Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 21. In response to Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 22. In response to Paragraph 22 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 23. In response to Paragraph 23 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

## 2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

#### ZWEIGLE'S BAD ACTS

- 24. In response to Paragraph 24 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies the allegation that "MDG Packaging Solutions that was struggling for customers." This Answering Defendant admits the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 24.
- 25. In response to Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 26. In response to Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies the allegations that winding down MDG Packing Solutions was part of the agreement and that this Answering Defendant was to "work exclusively for Switchback on a full-time basis." This Answering Defendant admits the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 26.
- 27. In response to Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant objects as vague and ambiguous as to time. Without waiving these objections, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 28. In response to Paragraph 28 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies the allegations that winding down MDG Packing Solutions was part of the agreement and that this Answering Defendant was to "work exclusively for it on a full-time basis." This Answering Defendant admits the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 28.
- 29. In responding to Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 30. In response to Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies the allegation in the first sentence. Further, this allegation is vague and ambiguous as to the meaning of "additional business opportunities." Subject to the foregoing, this Answering Defendant admits the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30.
- 31. In responding to Paragraph 31 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 32. In responding to Paragraph 32 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
  - 33. Objection, vague and ambiguous as to "additional business opportunities." Subject to

McCormick, Barstow,

the foregoing, in responding to Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 34. In responding to Paragraph 34 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 35. In responding to Paragraph 35 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 36. In responding to Paragraph 36 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 37. In responding to Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 38. In responding to Paragraph 38 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 39. In responding to Paragraph 39 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 40. In responding to Paragraph 40 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 41. In responding to Paragraph 41 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 42. In responding to Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 43. In responding to Paragraph 43 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### **COUNT ONE**

#### False Advertising under the Lanham Act

#### 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(b)

44. In response to Paragraph 44 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant incorporates by this reference as though fully set forth herein his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the Complaint as set forth hereinabove.

25

26

27

28

#### **COUNT FOUR**

2

3

54.

#### Unjust Enrichment

4

Complaint as set forth hereinabove.

6

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25 26

24

27

28

In response to Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant incorporates by this reference as though fully set forth herein his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 53 of the

55. In responding to Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each

and every allegation contained therein.

56. In responding to Paragraph 56 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

57. In responding to Paragraph 57 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

58. In responding to Paragraph 58 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

59. In responding to Paragraph 59 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### **COUNT FIVE**

#### **Breach of Contract**

- 60. In response to Paragraph 60 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant incorporates by this reference as though fully set forth herein his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 59 of the Complaint as set forth hereinabove.
- 61. In response to Paragraph 61 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 62. In response to Paragraph 62 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 63. In response to Paragraph 63 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

2

#### **COUNT SIX**

Interference with Existing and Prospective Economic Advantage

In response to Paragraph 64 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant incorporates

3

# 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

24

25 26

27

by this reference as though fully set forth herein his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 63 of the

Complaint as set forth hereinabove.

64.

65. In response to Paragraph 65 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 66. In response to Paragraph 66 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 67. In response to Paragraph 67 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 68. In response to Paragraph 68 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 69. In response to Paragraph 69 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 70. In response to Paragraph 70 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 71. In response to Paragraph 71 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 72. In response to Paragraph 72 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 73. In response to Paragraph 73 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

28

### **COUNT SEVEN Declaratory Judgment**

set forth herein his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 73 of the

2 3

#### 74. In response to Paragraph 74 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant incorporates

4 5

Complaint as set forth hereinabove.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

by	this	re	ferenc	e	as	th	ougł	1	fully	7
_					c	. 1				

- 75. In response to Paragraph 75 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies the allegation that "Because Zweigle was not being retained as an employee, the parties further agreed in writing that all of Zweigle's work product would be Switchback's property." This Answering Defendant admits the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 75.
- 76. In response to Paragraph 76 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 77. In response to Paragraph 77 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant admits the allegations contained in the first two sentences and the last sentence. However, this Answering Defendant denies the allegations in the third sentence.
- 78. In response to Paragraph 78 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 79. In response to Paragraph 79 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 80. In response to Paragraph 80 of the Complaint, this Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

81. This section includes a prayer for relief to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, this Answering Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought.

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted)

82. As a first affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges that the Complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to state a "cause of action" (i.e., claim for relief) upon

1	which relief can be granted against this Answering Defendant.			
2	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
3	(Failure To Mitigate Damages)			
4	83. As a second affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant is			
5	informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Plaintiff has failed to reasonably act to mitigate its			
6	damages, if any, and to such an extent is barred from any recovery herein.			
7	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
8	(Unclean Hands)			
9	84. As a third affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges that			
10	by reason of the knowledge, statements and conduct of Plaintiff, Plaintiff lacks clean hands with			
11	respect to the transactions alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint and is, therefore, not entitled to any relief			
12	based upon the Complaint or any of the "causes of action" (i.e., claims for relief) stated therein.			
13	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
14	(Express and/or Implied Waiver)			
15	85. As a fourth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges			
16	that Plaintiff has voluntarily relinquished its known rights, or by its conduct, should be deemed to			
17	have impliedly waived its known rights, and that consequently Plaintiff is barred from any recovery			
18	herein under the doctrine of waiver.			
19	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>			
20	(Intervening/Superseding Cause)			
21	86. As a fifth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges that			
22	Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, were caused by the conduct of persons other than this			
23	Answering Defendant, over whom this Answering Defendant had and have no right of control. The			
24	conduct of such third persons was an intervening and/or superseding cause of Plaintiff's injuries and			
25	damages, if any exist.			
26	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
27	(Comparative and Contributory Fault/Third Party)			
28	87. As a sixth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges that			

1	the damages complained of by Plaintiff, if any, were proximately caused by Plaintiff's own
2	negligence, that of its agents and/or third parties other than this Answering Defendant, which said
3	negligence has proximately caused the alleged loss or harm to Plaintiff, if any, and such negligence of
4	Plaintiff, its agents and/or third parties, should either eliminate entirely or reduce any recovery by
5	Plaintiff.
6	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	(Laches)
8	88. As a seventh affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges
9	that any recovery by Plaintiff is barred by the doctrine of laches.
10	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	(Equitable Estoppel)
12	89. As an eighth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges
13	that any recovery by Plaintiff is barred by the doctrine of equitable estoppel.
14	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
15	(No Superior Equities)
16	90. As a ninth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges that
17	Plaintiff lacks superior equities to this Answering Defendant and thus cannot recover on any Count
18	contained in its Complaint.
19	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Defendants' Retention of Funds Not Unjust Enrichment)
21	91. As a tenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges that,
22	under the circumstances presented here, and given public policy considerations, laws and regulations
23	regarding and affecting insurance companies, it is not unjust that this Answering Defendant be entitled
24	to retain any and all funds sought by Plaintiff or the benefit of such funds.
25	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	(Breach of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)
27	92. As an eleventh affirmative defense to the Complaint, Plaintiff is not entitled to relief
28	sought by the Complaint because Plaintiff has breached its obligations, including but not limited to its

obligation of good faith and fair dealing. 1 2 TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Justification) 3 93. As a twelfth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant was 4 5 justified in any acts that were purportedly wrongful because Plaintiff expressly agreed to allow this Answering Defendant's conduct. 6 7 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 8 (Acquiescence) 9 94. As a thirteenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, Plaintiff's claims are barred in 10 whole and in part under the doctrine of acquiescence. 11 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 12 (Good Faith) 13 95. As a fourteenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, any acts alleged to have been 14 committed by this Answering Defendant or any of its agents, servants, employees, or representatives 15 were reasonable and justified under the circumstances, and were based upon fair and honest reasons, 16 regulated by good faith on the part of this Answering Defendant, and were not trivial, arbitrary, 17 capricious, unrelated to business needs or goals, or pretextual. 18 FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 19 (Causation) 96. 20 As a fifteenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges 21 that any alleged acts or omissions of said Answering Defendant, if any, which alleged acts or 22 omissions are generally and specifically denied herein, did not constitute the legal and/or proximate 23 cause of any loss allegedly sustained by Plaintiff, which loss is also generally and specifically denied 24 by this Answering Defendant. 25 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 26 (No Product Confusion) 27 97. As a sixteenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, Plaintiff's claimed infringement 28 and unfair competition claims against this Answering Defendant fail because no likelihood of

McCormick, Barstow, HEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTHIIP 17 NORTH FRESNO STREET FRESNO, CA 93720

5:14-cv-1022

1	confusion exists among customers and potential customers with respect to Plaintiff and this
2	Answering Defendant's products.
3	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	(Adequate Remedy at Law)
5	98. As a seventeenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, Plaintiff is barred from seeking
6	injunctive relief in this action, since Plaintiff has an adequate remedy at law.
7	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	(Conduct Not Misleading)
9	99. As an eighteenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, although this Answering
0	Defendant does not admit to engaging in any conduct alleged by Plaintiff, to the extent that any
1	conduct by this Answering Defendant could be construed as relating to matters alleged in the
2	complaint, that conduct was not misleading or likely to mislead Plaintiff.
3	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	(Speculative Damages)
5	100. As a nineteenth affirmative defense to the Complaint, Plaintiff cannot recover any
6	damages to the extent they are speculative including, but not limited to, damages sought for los
7	profits.
8	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(Reservation of Additional Affirmative Defenses)
20	101. As a twentieth affirmative defense to the Complaint, this Answering Defendant alleges
21	that additional discovery may establish the applicability of various other defenses. This Answering
22	Defendant hereby reserves the right to amend to allege such additional defenses, if appropriate
23	including amendment after trial according to proof at trial.
24	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
25	WHEREFORE, this Answering Defendant prays that this Court enter judgment in its favor and
26	against Plaintiff and grant it the following relief:
27	(1) That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of its Complaint;
28	(2) That is Answer Defendant be awarded his costs of suit incurred herein; and

Case: 5:14-cv-01022-JRA Doc #: 17 Filed: 08/05/15 14 of 16. PageID #: 161

1	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
2	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant JOHN ZWEIGLE, dba
3	Design Services hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.
4	
5	Dated: August 5, 2015 McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
6	WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
7	
8	By: /s/ Douglas M. Beard  Douglas M. Beard
9	Attorneys for Defendant JOHN ZWEIGLE, dba  Design Services
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
25 26	
27	
28	
40	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	The undersigned does hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion to
3	Dismiss was served via the Court's electronic filing system upon the following on this 5th day of
4 5	August, 2015.
6 7 8	David T. Movius Matthew J. Cavanagh McDonald Hopkins, LLC 600 Superior Avenue, East, Ste. 2100 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 dmovius@mcdonaldhopkins.com
9	mcavanagh@mcdonaldhopkins.com
10	S/Douglas M. Beard
11	Douglas M. Beard
12	
13	
14	3534087.1
15	333408/.1
16	
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	